

**ERNEST ORLANDO LAWRENCE
BERKELEY NATIONAL LABORATORY**

Guidelines for the Monitoring, Evaluation, Reporting, Verification, and Certification of Energy-Efficiency Projects for Climate Change Mitigation

**Edward Vine and Jayant Sathaye
Environmental Energy
Technologies Division**

March 1999

Disclaimer

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by the United States Government. While this document is believed to contain correct information, neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor The Regents of the University of California, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by its trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof, or The Regents of the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof, or The Regents of the University of California.

Ernest Orlando Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory is an equal opportunity employer.

**GUIDELINES FOR
THE MONITORING, EVALUATION, REPORTING, VERIFICATION, AND
CERTIFICATION OF ENERGY-EFFICIENCY PROJECTS FOR CLIMATE CHANGE
MITIGATION**

Edward Vine and Jayant Sathaye

**Energy Analysis Department
Environmental Energy Technologies Division
Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory
Berkeley, CA 94720 USA**

March 1999

**Prepared for the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Climate Policy and Program Division
Office of Economics and Environment
Office of Policy, Planning and Evaluation**

Maurice LeFranc, Project Manager

**This work was supported by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency through the U.S. Department
of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC03-76SF00098**

PREFACE

To combat the growing threat of global climate change from increasing concentrations of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere, the Kyoto Protocol includes project-based mitigation efforts to achieve large-scale and cost-effective emissions reductions. The Protocol requires real and measurable reductions in emissions that are additional to any that would occur in the absence of a certified project activity. Monitoring, evaluation, reporting, verification and certification of these projects are activities that the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) sees as important.

EPA has initiated a three-phase process in developing usable guidelines on monitoring, evaluation, reporting, verification and certification (MERVC). In the first phase, an overview of MERVC issues was prepared (E. Vine and J. Sathaye. 1997. *The Monitoring, Evaluation, Reporting, and Verification of Climate Change Mitigation Projects: Discussion of Issues and Methodologies and Review of Existing Protocols and Guidelines*. LBNL-40316. Berkeley, CA: Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory). The guidelines presented in this report constitute the second phase of work. The third phase will be a procedural handbook that describes the information and requirements for specific measurement and evaluation methods that can be employed for measuring energy savings and carbon emissions.

The intent of these reports is to provide initial methodologies that will support the measurement of greenhouse gas removals from project-level activities. These methodologies will also assist project developers in preparing and implementing monitoring, evaluation, and verification plans that can lead to better estimates of energy savings as well as improve the projects themselves, making them more attractive to investors, the private sector, and local communities.

These guidelines have been reviewed by project developers (working on projects in Eastern Europe, Africa and Latin America) as well as experts in the monitoring and evaluation of energy-efficiency projects. The practitioners reviewed the report for accuracy and assessed whether data were available for completing the forms presented at the end of this report. Based on their feedback, we believe these guidelines and related forms can be used by project developers, evaluators, and verifiers.

These guidelines can also be used by anyone involved with the design and development of joint implementation and Clean Development Mechanism projects, such as: facility energy managers, energy service companies, development banks, finance firms, consultants, government agency employees and contractors, utility executives, city and municipal managers, researchers, and nonprofit organizations. National and international entities can also use these guidelines and forms as a model for developing official MERVC-type guidelines.

Maurice LeFranc
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

This page is intentionally left blank.

ABSTRACT

Because of concerns with the growing threat of global climate change from increasing concentrations of greenhouse gases in the atmosphere, the United States and other countries are implementing, by themselves or in cooperation with one or more other nations, climate change mitigation projects. These projects will reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions, and may also result in non-GHG benefits and costs (i.e., other environmental and socioeconomic benefits and costs).

Monitoring, evaluating, reporting, verifying, and certifying (MERVC) guidelines are needed for these projects in order to accurately determine their impact on GHG and other attributes. Implementation of standardized guidelines is also intended to: (1) increase the reliability of data for estimating GHG benefits; (2) provide real-time data so that programs and plans can be revised mid-course; (3) introduce consistency and transparency across project types and reporters; (4) enhance the credibility of the projects with stakeholders; (5) reduce costs by providing an international, industry consensus approach and methodologies; and (6) reduce financing costs, allowing project bundling and pooled project financing.

These guidelines cover the following items: (1) a description of seven methods (engineering methods, basic statistical models, multivariate statistical models, end-use metering, short-term monitoring, and integrative methods) for evaluating energy savings; (2) an explanation of key issues influencing the establishment of a credible baseline (free riders) and the calculation of gross energy savings (positive project spillover and market transformation); (3) a process for verifying and certifying project impacts, based on an interpretation of the Kyoto Protocol; (4) a discussion of the importance and value of including environmental and socioeconomic impacts in the evaluation of energy-efficiency projects; (5) reporting forms for estimation of gross and net energy savings and emission reductions (Appendix A), for monitoring and evaluation of these savings (Appendix B), and for verification (Appendix C); and (6) Quality Assurance Guidelines that require evaluators and verifiers to indicate specifically how key methodological issues are addressed.

The next phase of this work will be to develop a procedural handbook providing information on how one can complete the monitoring, evaluation and verification forms contained in this report. Next, we plan to test the usefulness of these guidelines in the real world.

This page is intentionally left blank.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

List of Tables and Figures.....	vii
List of Boxes.....	viii
Acknowledgments	ix
1. Introduction.....	1
1.1. Overview of Project Tasks	2
1.2. Conceptual Framework.....	3
1.3. Purpose of MERVC Guidelines.....	5
1.4. Target Audience	7
1.5. Scope.....	8
1.6. Relationship to Other Programs/Documents.....	10
1.6.1. International Performance Measurement and Verification Protocol.....	10
1.6.2. U.S. Federal Energy Management Program.....	10
1.6.3. U.S. EPA Conservation Protocols.....	10
1.6.4. U.S. ASHRAE GPC 14P	10
1.6.5. World Bank's monitoring and evaluation guidelines.....	11
1.6.6. USIJI's Project Proposal Guidelines	11
1.6.7. DOE's Voluntary Reporting of Greenhouse Gases	11
1.6.8. California's Measurement and Evaluation Protocols.....	11
2. Energy-Efficiency Project Typology.....	13
3. Estimation and Registration of Projects	15
3.1. Estimating Gross Changes in Energy Use and Carbon Emissions.....	16
3.1.1. Monitoring domain.....	16
3.1.2. Positive project spillover.....	17
3.1.3. Market transformation.....	18
3.2. Estimating a Baseline	20
3.2.1. Free riders.....	21
3.2.2. Performance benchmarks.....	22
3.3. Estimating Net GHG Emissions	22
4. Monitoring and Evaluation of Energy Use and GHG Emissions.....	23
4.1. Methodological Issues	27
4.1.1. Measurement uncertainty	27

4.1.2. Frequency and duration of monitoring and evaluation	28
4.2. Measurement of Gross Energy Savings.....	31
4.2.1. Establishing the monitoring domain	32
4.2.2. Engineering methods.....	32
4.2.3. Basic statistical models for evaluation.....	36
4.2.4. Multivariate statistical models for evaluation.....	38
4.2.5. End-use metering.....	40
4.2.6. Short-term monitoring.....	41
4.2.7. Integrative methods	43
4.2.8. Application of estimation methods.....	45
4.2.9. Application of IPMVP approach.....	47
4.2.10. Quality assurance guidelines.....	50
4.2.11. Positive project spillover	52
4.2.12. Market transformation.....	53
4.3. Re-estimating the Baseline	55
4.3.1. Free riders.....	56
4.3.2. Comparison groups.....	58
4.4. Calculating Net GHG Emissions.....	58
5. Reporting of GHG Reductions.....	61
5.1. Multiple reporting.....	62
6. Verification of GHG Reductions.....	63
7. Certification of GHG Reductions.....	65
8. Environmental and Socioeconomic Impacts.....	67
8.1. Environmental impacts.....	68
8.2. Socioeconomic impacts.....	70
9. MERVC Costs.....	72
10. Concluding Remarks.....	74
11. References.....	75
 Appendix A: Estimation Reporting Form	 A-1
Appendix B: Monitoring and Evaluation Reporting Form.....	B-1
Appendix C: Verification Reporting Form	C-1

LIST OF TABLES AND FIGURES

Table 1. Examples of End-Use Efficiency Measures in Buildings and Industry	13
Table 2. Options for Obtaining Credit for Energy Savings Over Time.....	30
Table 3. References to Engineering Methods	36
Table 4. References to Basic Statistical Models	38
Table 5. References to Multivariate Statistical Models	40
Table 6. References to End-use Metering	41
Table 7. References to Short-term Monitoring	43
Table 8. References to Integrative Methods.....	44
Table 9. Advantages and Disadvantages of Data Collection and Analysis Methods.....	46
Table 10. Overview of IPMVP's M&V Options	49
Table 11. Quality Assurance Issues for Data Collection and Analysis Methods.....	52
Table 12. Potential Environmental Impacts.....	68
Table 13. Socioeconomic Impacts	71
Figure 1. Project Tasks.....	2
Figure 2. Example of Energy Use Over Time.....	4
Figure 3. Estimation Overview	15
Figure 4. Evaluation Overview	24

LIST OF BOXES

Box 1. Definitions.....	6
Box 2. Market Transformation Programs Outside North America.....	19
Box 3. The Evaluation of Energy-Efficiency Programs in California	26
Box 4. Engineering Building Simulation Example.....	34
Box 5. Basic Statistical Model Example	37
Box 6. Multivariate Statistical Model Example	39
Box 7. End-use Metering Example.....	41
Box 8. Short-term Monitoring Example.....	42
Box 9. Integrative Methods Example	44
Box 10. Project Spillover Example.....	53
Box 11. Market Transformation Example.....	54
Box 12. Free Riders Example.....	57
Box 13. Net-to-Gross Energy Savings Example.....	59
Box 14. Energy Efficiency and the Indoor Environment	69

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Maurice N. LeFranc, Jr. of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Climate Policy and Program Division, Office of Economics and Environment, Office of Policy, Planning and Evaluation for their assistance. We also appreciate the comments by reviewers of an earlier draft of this report: Madeline Costanza, Jeff Haberl, Johannes Heister, Adrienne Kandel, Greg Kats, Steve Kromer, Satish Kumar, Dan Lashof, Steve Meyers, Axel Michaelowa, Agami Reddy, George Reeves, Steve Schiller, Joel Swisher, and Tom Wutka. This work was supported by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency through the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC03-76SF00098.

This page is intentionally left blank.